Case 2:15-cv-04113-PSG-JEM Document 978 Filed 09/20/22 Page 1 of 7 Page JD #:46045

SC 2.1		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
		09/20/2022		
1		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
1				
23		Link 970		
4				
6				
7				
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	KEITH ANDREWS, an individual, TIFFANI ANDREWS, an individual.	Case No. 2:15-cv-04113-PSG-JEM		
12	BACIU FAMILY LLC, a California limited liability company, ROBERT	[Consolidated with Case Nos. 2:15-cv-04573-PSG (JEMx), 2:15-cv-04759-		
13	BOYDSTON, an individual, MORGAN CASTAGNOLA, an individual, THE	PSG (JEMx), 2:15-cv-04989-PSG (JEMx), 2:15-cv-05118-PSG (JEMx), 2:15-cv-07051-PSG (JEMx)]		
14	EAGLE FLEET, LLC, a California limited liability company, ZACHARY			
15	FRAZIER, an individual, MIKE GANDALL, an individual,	ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF PROPOSED		
16	ALEXANDRA B. GEREMIA, as Trustee for the Alexandra Geremia	SETTLEMENT		
17	Family Trust dated 8/5/1998, JIM GUELKER, an individual, JACQUES	Judge: Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez Courtroom: 6A		
18	HABRA, an individual, MARK KIRKHART, an individual, MARY			
19	KIRKHART, an individual, RICHARD LILYGREN, an individual, HWA HONG MUH, an individual, OCEAN			
20	ANGEL IV, LLC, a California limited liability company, PACIFIC RIM			
21	FISHERIES, INC, a California corporation, SARAH RATHBONE, an			
22	individual, COMMUNITY SEAFOOD LLC, a California limited liability			
23	company, SANTA BARBARA UNI, INC., a California corporation,			
24	SOUTHERN CAL SEAFOOD, INC., a California corporation, TRACTIDE			
25	MARINE CORP., a California corporation, WEI INTERNATIONAL			
26	TRADING INC., a California corporation and STEPHEN WILSON,			
27	an individual, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,			
28				
		1- Case No. 2:15-cv-04113-PSG-JEM		
	[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT			

 Plaintiffs,
 vs.
 PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, and PLAINS PIPELINE, L.P., a Texas limited partnership, and JOHN DOES 1 through 10,
 Defendants.

7 WHEREAS, plaintiffs Keith Andrews, Tiffani Andrews, Morgan Castagnola, 8 Mike Gandall, Hwa Hong Muh, Ocean Angel IV LLC, Pacific Rim Fisheries, Inc., 9 Sarah Rathbone, Community Seafood LLC, Santa Barbara Uni, Inc., Southern Cal 10 Seafood, Inc., Wei International Trading, Inc., individually and in their 11 representative capacities ("Fisher Class Representatives"), and Defendants Plains 12 All American Pipeline, L.P. and Plains Pipeline, L.P. (collectively "Plains" or 13 "Defendants") have reached a proposed settlement of the Fisher Class claims, which 14 is embodied in the Settlement Agreement filed with the Court;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs Baciu Family LLC, Alexandra B. Geremia, Jacques
Habra, Mark Kirkhart, and Mary Kirkhart ("Property Class Representatives"), and
Plains have reached a proposed settlement of the Property Class claims, which is
embodied in the Settlement Agreement filed with the Court;

WHEREAS, on May 25, 2022, an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") was entered by this Court, preliminarily approving the proposed Settlement of this Action pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and directing that Notice be given to the members of the Settlement Classes;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Class Members have been provided with Notice informing them of the terms of the proposed Settlement and of a Final Approval Hearing to, *inter alia*: (a) determine whether the proposed Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate so that the

Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered; (b) consider any timely 1 objections to this Settlement and the Parties' responses to such objections; (c) rule 2 on any application for attorneys' fees and expenses; (d) rule on any application for 3 incentive awards; and (e) determine whether the Plans of Distribution that will be 4 5 submitted by Class Counsel should be approved;

WHEREAS, a Final Approval Hearing was held on September 20, 2022. 6 Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, proof of completion of Notice was filed with 7 the Court, along with declarations of compliance as prescribed in the Preliminary 8 9 Approval Order. Class Members were adequately notified of their right to appear at the hearing in support of or in opposition to the proposed Settlement, any 10 application for attorneys' fees and expenses, any application for incentive awards, 11 and/or the Plans of Distribution submitted by Class Counsel; 12

13 WHEREAS, no Class Members have filed objections challenging the fairness of the Settlement, indicating a positive reaction from the Classes and further 14 supporting the reasonableness of the Settlement; 15

16 WHEREAS, the Fisher Class Representatives and the Property Class Representatives have applied to the Court for final approval of the proposed 17 18 Settlement of the Action, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement; 19

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court having read and considered the Settlement 20 Agreement and accompanying exhibits and the Motion For Final Settlement 21 Approval, having heard any objectors or their counsel appearing at the Final 22 23 Approval Hearing, having reviewed all of the submissions presented with respect to the proposed Settlement, and having determined that the Settlement is fair, adequate, 24 and reasonable and in the best interests of the Class Members, it is hereby 25 ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED THAT: 26

- 27
- 28

1 1. The capitalized terms used in this Order Granting Final Approval of
 2 Proposed Settlement have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement
 3 Agreement.

4 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and
5 over all claims raised therein and all Parties thereto, including the Classes.

The Court finds that the Notice set forth in Article V of the Settlement 3. 6 Agreement, detailed in the Notice Plan attached to the Declaration of Jennifer 7 Keough of JND Legal Administration, and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary 8 Approval Order: (a) constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances 9 of this Action; (b) constitutes due and sufficient notice to the Classes of the terms of 10 the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Hearing; and (c) fully complied 11 with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States 12 13 Constitution, and any other applicable law, including the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715. 14

4. Based on the papers filed with the Court and the presentations made to
 the Court at the hearing, the Court now gives final approval to the Settlement and
 finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of
 the Settlement Class Members. The Court has specifically considered the factors
 relevant to class settlement approval. *See, e.g.*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e); *Churchill Vill.*,
 L.L.C. v. Gen. Elec., 361 F.3d 566 (9th Cir. 2004); *In re Bluetooth Headset Products Liability Litig.*, 654 F.3d 935 (9th Cir. 2011).

- 22a. Among the factors supporting the Court's determination are: the23significant relief provided to Class Members; the risks of ongoing24litigation, trial, and appeal; the risk of maintaining class action status25through trial and appeal; the extensive discovery to date; and the26positive reaction of Class Members.
- b. Class certification remains appropriate for the reasons set out in
 the Court's prior orders certifying the Fisher Class and Property

Classes. Further, the Fisher Class Representatives and the Property Class Representatives, and Class Counsel have adequately represented the classes.

- c. The Settlement was negotiated at arm's length and was free of
 collusion. It was negotiated with experienced, adversarial counsel after
 extensive discovery, and with the aid of neutral, qualified mediators.
 Further, the attorneys' fees and costs award was the subject of a
 separate application to the Court.
- 9 5. The Settlement Agreement and every term and provision thereof are
 10 deemed incorporated in this Order and have the full force of an order of this Court.
- Upon the Effective Date, all Class Members have, by operation of this
 Order, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged the Released
 Parties pursuant to Article VII of the Settlement Agreement.¹
- Upon the Effective Date, Class Members, and their successors, assigns, 14 7. parents, subsidiaries, affiliates or agents of any of them, are permanently barred and 15 enjoined from commencing or continuing any action or proceeding in any court or 16 tribunal asserting any claims released under the Settlement Agreement, including 17 any claims for criminal restitution in People v. Plains All Am. Pipeline, L.P., No. 18 1495091 (Cal. Superior Ct.) and writ relief sought in Victim Restitution Claimants v. 19 Superior Court of the County of Santa Barbara, No. B317229 (Cal. Ct. of Appeal), 20 and from accepting payment of any Restitution Award in People v. Plains All Am. 21 Pipeline, L.P., No. 1495091 (Cal. Superior Ct.). 22
- 8. This Final Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement
 that it reflects, and any and all acts, statements, documents or proceedings relating to
- 26

1

2

3

¹ A list of those who previously opted out of each of the Classes at the time they
were certified, and therefore are not bound by the terms of the Settlement, is
attached to this Order.

the Settlement are not, and must not be construed as, or used as, an admission by or
 against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability on their part, or of the
 validity of any claim or of the existence or amount of damages.

9. The above-captioned Action is dismissed in its entirety with prejudice.
Except as otherwise provided in orders separately entered by this Court on any
application for attorneys' fees and expenses, any application for incentive awards,
and the Plans of Distribution submitted by Class Counsel, the parties will bear their
own expenses and attorneys' fees.

9 10. Without affecting the finality of this Order and the accompanying
10 Judgment, the Court reserves jurisdiction over the implementation of the Settlement,
11 including enforcement and administration of the Settlement Agreement, including
12 any releases in connection therewith, and any other matters related or ancillary to
13 the foregoing.

IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September 20, 2022

1 R.

Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez United States District Judge

1

LIST OF OPT-OUTS

2		
3	Property Opt-outs	Fisher Opt-outs
4	Anthony Sogliuzzo	Anthony Luna
5	Donald W. and Carol L. Swenson	Jason Robinson
6	Janet Thornton	Kenneth Millington
	Francis F. and Edna L. Chen	Shane Robinson
7	Patsy R. Lockwood	John Burris
8	Erlaine Seeger	Adam White
9	Adeline M. Godcombe	Matthew Arf
10	Boris LLC	Jason Deaton
11	David Meline	Henry Lara
	Diane Ward	
12	Donna Reckseen	
13	Edward & Donna Martyn	
14	Fred Sanford	
15	John Jones	
16	John Torpey	
17	Linda Ann and John Henry Seiter	
	Margaret Toth	
18	Phyllis Walker	
19	Richard Gunther	
20	Robin Arnold	
21	Sarah Hinton	
22	Steven C. Comstock	
23	Thacher Family Beach House Trust Waldemar S. Nelson Co. Inc.	
24	Joan Riley	
25		
26		
27		
28		